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## 1. Introduction and Background

### 1.1. Proponent

Shawmac Pty Ltd has been engaged by Vibe Petroleum to prepare a Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) for a proposed service station at 310 Bernard Road North in Carabooda.

### 1.2. Site Location

The general site location is shown in Figure 1. The local authority is the City of Wanneroo.


Figure 1: General Site Location
An aerial view of the existing site is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Aerial View of the Site (January 2022)

### 1.3. Scope

This TIA has been prepared in accordance with the Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines. According to the TIA guidelines, the key objectives of a TIA are to:

- assess the proposed internal transport networks with respect to accessibility, circulation and safety for all modes, that is, vehicles, public transport, pedestrians and cyclists;
- assess the level of transport integration between the development and the surrounding land uses;
- determine the impacts of the traffic generated by the development on the surrounding land uses; and
- determine the impacts of the traffic generated by the development on the surrounding transport networks.


## 2. Development Proposal

### 2.1. Land Uses

The proposed development is a new service station comprising 16 fuelling positions and a convenience store.

### 2.2. Access Arrangement

Preliminary advice from Main Roads WA is that direct vehicle access to Wanneroo Road will not be supported and that all vehicle access will need to be via the Bernard Road North frontage.

The Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection would then need to be upgraded with left and right turn lanes to minimise the impact on through traffic along Wanneroo Road. This is discussed later in this assessment.

The proposed site layout and vehicle access arrangement is shown in Figure 3. The layout of the upgraded intersection is subject to engineering design in accordance with Main Roads WA and Austroads guidelines.


Figure 3: Proposed Site Layout and Access Arrangement

## 3. Existing Situation

### 3.1. Road Network

### 3.1.1. Layout and Hierarchy

The layout and hierarchy of the existing local road network according to the Main Roads WA Road Information Mapping System is shown in Figure 4.

As shown, Wanneroo Road is a Primary Distributor Road which is controlled by Main Roads WA. In the vicinity of the site, Wanneroo Road is constructed as a two-lane, single carriageway road.


Figure 4: Existing Road Network Hierarchy

The existing speed limits are shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5: Existing Speed Limits

### 3.1.2. Traffic Counts

The latest traffic counts for Wanneroo Road were obtained from the Main Roads WA Traffic Map as shown in
Figure 6. As shown, the daily and peak hour volumes are highest on a Friday. Although the daily traffic volume is much lower on a Sunday, the Sunday peak hour (11am to 12pm) traffic volume is almost as high as the Friday afternoon peak hour volume.

A peak hour traffic survey was undertaken in March 2022 to determine the existing weekday peak hour traffic volumes on Bernard Road North. Bernard Road North currently carries approximately 7 vehicles (4 light vehicles and 3 heavy vehicles) during the morning peak hour and 17 vehicles (11 light vehicles and 6 heavy vehicles) during the afternoon peak hour.


Figure 6: Wanneroo Road - Traffic Counts


### 3.2. Changes to Surrounding Transport Networks

Following proposed changes to the external road network are being progressed:

- Mitchell Freeway is being extended north of Hester Avenue to Romeo Road. Construction is underway and is due for completion by mid-2023.
- The section of Wanneroo Road to the south to the south of the site between Trian Road and Dunstan Road is being widened from a single carriageway to a four-lane, dual carriageway road. Construction is underway and due for completion by mid-2024.

The proposed changes are shown in Figure 7.


Figure 7: Mitchell Freeway Extension and Wanneroo Road Duplication
It is ultimately planned to duplicate the section of Wanneroo Road adjacent to the site but the timing of upgrading this section is not known.

## 4. Transport Assessment

### 4.1. Assessment Parameters

Transport Impact Assessments generally include consideration of two development horizons including:

- The year that the development is completed and operational which is currently estimated to be 2023.
- 10 years after opening which would be 2033.

As service stations rely on pass-by traffic, the critical peak hours for assessment would be the peak hours on the road network which would be the afternoon peak hour on a Friday (3 to 4pm) and the mid-day peak hour on a Sunday (11am to 12pm).

### 4.2. Traffic Generation

### 4.2.1. Weekday

The volume of traffic generated by the development on a weekday has been estimated using standard vehicle trip generation rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation. The closest applicable land use was determined to be Convenience Store / Gas Station. The following is noted with regards to the trip generation:

- There was no daily trip generation rate for the weekend and so the weekday trip rate was used for the weekend.
- The distribution of trips was $50 \%$ entering / $50 \%$ exiting for all scenarios.
- The trip rates used are based on surveys in general urban and suburban settings. As the site is relatively rural, the actual trip generation is likely to be lower.

The estimated trip generation is calculated in Table 1.
Table 1: Proposed Development Vehicle Trip Generation

| Land Use | Units | Quantity | Generation Rate |  |  | Number of Trips |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily | AM <br> Peak | PM <br> Peak | Daily | AM <br> Peak | PM <br> Peak |
| Convenience Store / Gas Station Weekday | Fuelling Positions | 16 | 265.12 | 16.06 | 18.42 | 4,242 | 257 | 295 |
| Convenience Store / Gas Station Weekend | Fuelling Positions | 16 | 265.12 | 17.01 | - | 4,242 | 272 | - |

As shown, the proposed development is estimated to generate 4,242 vehicles per day (vpd), 257 vehicles per hour (vph) during the weekday AM peak hour, 295 vph during the PM peak hour and 272 vph during the weekend mid-day peak hour.

A high proportion of vehicle trips to service stations are "pass-by" trips which are trips that are already on the road network that would divert to the site and then continue on. ITE surveys indicates that the proportion of pass-by trips at service stations are approximately $76 \%$ during the weekday AM peak and $75 \%$ during the weekday PM. There was no pass-by rate for the weekend peak hour but it is reasonable to assume that it would be of a similar magnitude as the weekday PM peak hour.

The net increase in traffic on the adjacent road network resulting from the development is therefore approximately 62 vehicles during the weekday AM peak hour, 74 vehicles during the weekday PM peak hour and 68 vehicles during the weekend mid-day peak hour.

According to the WAPC TIA guidelines, an increase of between 10 to 100 peak hour vehicles is considered to have a low to moderate impact. The estimated 62 to 74 additional peak hour vehicles is around the middle of this range and so the development traffic is considered to have a moderate impact on the road network.

### 4.3. Traffic Distribution

It is assumed that all development traffic would travel to and from the site via Wanneroo Road.
The north/south split of traffic has been derived from the directional traffic volumes along Wanneroo Road which are approximately $60 \%$ north / $40 \%$ south during the weekday PM peak and $40 \%$ north / $60 \%$ south during the weekend mid-day peak.

The development traffic has been assigned to the road network based on the above distribution as shown in
Figure 8.


Figure 8: Distribution of Peak Hour Site Traffic

### 4.4. Traffic Impact

### 4.4.1. Intersection Capacity

The peak hour capacity of the Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection has been analysed in SIDRA Intersection 9.0 in accordance with Main Roads WA's Operational Modelling Guidelines. The layout was modelled with left and right turn lanes and a wide median to allow for staged right turns. The modelled layout is shown in

Figure 9.


Figure 9: Intersection Model Layout
Historical traffic counts along Wanneroo Road indicate that traffic volumes have increased by approximately 4\% per year and so the base volumes along Wanneroo Road have been factored up accordingly to account for ongoing development in the area up to the two development horizons (2023 and 2033).

The results of the assessment are attached as Appendix A and summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North - SIDRA Intersection Capacity Analysis Results Summary

| Scenario | DOS | Average <br> Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  | Worst <br> Delay | Maximum <br> Queue | Average <br> LOS | Worst <br> LOS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 Friday PM Peak | 0.510 | 1.4 s | 10.2 s | 1.1 m | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 Sunday Mid-day Peak | 0.467 | 1.6 s | 12.6 s | 1.3 m | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2033 Friday PM Peak | 0.756 | 1.5 s | 13.9 s | 1.9 m | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2033 Sunday Mid-day Peak | 0.691 | 2.5 s | 32.8 s | 4.2 m | A | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The results show that the intersection will operate within capacity for all assessed peak hour scenarios. All measures of intersection performance (degree of saturation, average delay, queueing and level of service) are within acceptable thresholds.

On this basis, the traffic generated by the proposed development can be accommodated within the capacity of the existing road network.

### 4.5. Auxiliary Lanes

Considering the high speed rural environment and relatively high proportion of heavy vehicles along Wanneroo Road, the Austroads warrants for turn treatments at intersections has been applied to the Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road intersection. The warrants are outlined in Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4: Intersections and Crossings - General (AGRD04) and are used to provide guidance on the provision of intersection turn treatments from the major road based on safety and capacity. The warrants assessment has been undertaken using the Main Roads WA Intersection Warrants Calculator spreadsheet which modifies the AGRD04 equation to account for the high percentage of heavy vehicles in WA.

The input traffic volumes have been calculated as per Figure 10.


Figure 10: Major Road Traffic Volume Calculation
The results of the warrants assessment are shown in Figure 11.


INTERSECTION WARRANTS
Main Roads WA Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design - Part 4 A. 8

| DESIGN SPEED $=$ | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SPLITTER ISLAND YES $/$ NO $=$ | Yes |
| $/ \mathrm{NUAL}$ CARRIAGEWAY YES $/$ NO $=$ | No |


| MOVEMENT | COUNT <br> (v/h) | HV <br> (\%) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{T} 1}=$ | 606 | 6.9 |
| $\mathbf{Q R}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ | 60 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{T} 2}=$ | 865 | 9.7 |
| $\mathbf{Q L}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ | 86 | 0 |

RIGHT TURN ASSESSMENT

| $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{m}}$ | $=1471$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\% \mathbf{H V}$ | $=1.546$ |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | $=9.23$ |
|  | $\mathbf{C H R}$ |
|  |  |

LEFT TURN ASSESSMENT
2023 Sunday


Mid-day Peak

$$
\text { TREATMENT }=\text { AUL or CHL }
$$

## INTERSECTION WARRANTS

Main Roads WA Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design - Part 4 A. 8


RIGHT TURN ASSESSMENT

| $\mathbf{Q m}_{\mathbf{m}}=$ | 2177 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \% HV = | 8.606 |
| $\mathrm{x}=$ | 13.20 |
| TREATMENT = | CHR |

LEFT TURN ASSESSMENT
2033 Sunday
Mid-day Peak

Figure 11: Warrants Assessment Results

As shown, the intersection warrants a Channelised Right (CHR) turn treatment for right turns and an Auxiliary Left (AUL) or Channelised Left (CHL) turn treatment for left turns.

The typical layout of an AUL, CHL and CHR treatment is shown in Figure 12.


Figure 12: Typical AUL, CHL and CHR Layouts

## 5. Road Safety

### 5.1. Crash History

The crash history of the adjacent road network was obtained from the MRWA Reporting Centre. The search included the length of Wanneroo Road between Walding Road and Bernard Road South.

A summary of the recorded incidents over the five-year period ending December 2021 is shown in Figure 13.


Figure 13: Crash History January 2017 to December 2021
As shown, a relatively high number of crashes have been recorded at the Wanneroo Road / Pipidinny Road intersection including 20 right angle crashes.

It is understood that the improvements have been made including revised pavement markings in March 2020 to improve sightlines and repairs to resolve the edge drop and improvements to the existing shoulder in October
2020. The detailed crash history at this intersection indicates that the number of yearly crashes has reduced over time ( 6 crashes in 2017, 6 crashes in 2018, 6 crashes in 2019, 5 crashes in 2020 and only 1 crash in 2021).

The layout of the upgraded Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection is likely to extend beyond Pipidinny Road and so the layout of the Wanneroo Road / Pipidinny Road intersection can potentially be improved as part of the upgrade works.

The number of type of crashes along the remainder of the adjacent road network does not indicate any other major safety issues.

## 6. Public Transport Access

There are no existing public transport services within walking distance of the site. Public transport coverage is typically lower in rural areas and there is a higher reliance on private vehicle travel.

The proposed use will rely mostly on car travel and so additional public transport services are not warranted by the development.

## 7. Pedestrian / Cycle Access and Amenity

There is no existing path infrastructure in the vicinity of the site.
As above, the proposed use will rely mostly on car travel and so additional pedestrian and cycle infrastructure is warranted by the development.

## 8. Parking Assessment

### 8.1. Car Parking

The minimum car parking requirements for developments within the City of Wanneroo are outlined in the City's District Planning Scheme (DPS2). The parking requirement for a service station is 5 bays per service bay plus 7 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of non-service bay NLA. Up to $50 \%$ of non-service bays may be located in refuelling positions.

As there are no motor vehicle service bays, parking is only required for the non-service NLA. Based on the $400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of shop floorspace, the parking requirement is 28 bays. 14 of the bays may be located in refuelling positions.

The development plans indicate that 37 bays will be provided including 16 in refuelling positions. The parking provision exceeds the minimum requirements and is therefore considered to be adequate.

### 8.2. Parking Layout

A swept path analysis has been undertaken to ensure that the proposed access and internal site layout is adequate for the largest vehicle proposed to access the site which is expected to be fuel tankers ( 19 m semitrailer).

A swept path has also been undertaken for a service / delivery vehicle which will need to access the service bay adjoining the main building. This has been undertaken using the Australian Standard 12.5 m Heavy Rigid Vehicle which is a relatively large service vehicle.

The swept path sketches are attached as Appendix B. These demonstrate that the access and site layout provides adequate manoeuvring room for the expected vehicles. As expected, the Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection will require widening to accommodate the turning movements of the expected trucks in addition to the turn treatments warranted by the traffic volumes.

## 9. Conclusions

The Transport Impact Assessment for the proposed service station at 310 Bernard Road North in Carabooda concluded the following:

- The proposed development is estimated to generate 4,242 vehicles per day (vpd), 257 vehicles per hour (vph) during the weekday AM peak hour, 295 vph during the PM peak hour and 272 vph during the weekend mid-day peak hour. With pass by trips excluded, the net increase in traffic generation is 62 vehicles during the weekday AM peak hour, 74 vehicles during the weekday PM peak hour and 68 vehicles during the weekend mid-day peak hour.
- A peak hour capacity analysis of the Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection indicates that there will be sufficient capacity to accommodate the development traffic at opening and in the longer term scenario.
- The crash history of the adjacent road network identified a relatively high number of crashes at the Wanneroo Road / Pipidinny Road intersection including 20 right angle crashes. Several improvements have been implemented in recent years and the number of yearly crashes has reduced over time. The layout of the upgraded Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection is likely to extend beyond Pipidinny Road and so the layout of the Wanneroo Road / Pipidinny Road intersection can potentially be improved as part of the upgrade works.
- The demand for public transport use, walking and cycling is expected to be low and the provision of additional services or path infrastructure is not warranted by the development.
- 28 car parking bays are required to satisfy the minimum requirements of the City's Local Planning Scheme. The proposed 37 bays satisfies the minimum requirements.
- A preliminary swept path analysis demonstrates that the access and site layout provides adequate manoeuvring room for the expected heavy vehicles. As expected, the Wanneroo Road / Bernard Road North intersection will require widening to accommodate the turning movements of the expected trucks in addition to the turn treatments warranted by the traffic volumes.


## Appendix A - SIDRA Intersection Analysis Results

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: 1 [2023 Friday PM Peak - Stage 1 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard $\quad$ ㅁㅁ Network: N101 [2023 Friday PM Peak Rd N)]
(Network Folder: General)]
Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn | DEMAND <br> [ Total veh/h | LOWS <br> HV] \% | ARRI <br> FLOV [ Total veh/h | VAL WS HV] \% | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Aver. <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | AVERA <br> [ Veh. veh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACK OF } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { Dist ] } \\ & \mathbf{m} \end{aligned}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed <br> km/h |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 R2 | 95 | 3.2 | 95 | 3.2 | 0.086 | 10.2 | LOS B | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.62 | 0.78 | 0.62 | 53.9 |
| Approach | 95 | 3.2 | 95 | 3.2 | 0.086 | 10.2 | NA | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.62 | 0.78 | 0.62 | 53.9 |
| East: Bernard Rd N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 68 | 1.5 | 68 | 1.5 | 0.067 | 7.2 | $\operatorname{LOS} A$ | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.58 | 0.73 | 0.58 | 53.2 |
| 5 T1 | 84 | 2.4 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.067 | 5.7 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.44 | 0.69 | 0.44 | 50.4 |
| Approach | 152 | 2.0 | 152 | 2.0 | 0.067 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.50 | 52.1 |
| North: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \quad$ L2 | 63 | 0.0 | 63 | 0.0 | 0.032 | 8.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 56.3 |
| 8 T1 | 631 | 10.9 | 631 | 10.9 | 0.343 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.7 |
| Approach | 694 | 9.9 | 694 | 9.9 | 0.343 | 0.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 85.1 |
| All Vehicles | 941 | 8.0 | 941 | 8.0 | 0.343 | 2.6 | NA | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 74.7 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: 2 [2023 Friday PM Peak - Stage 2 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard ab Network: N101 [2023 Friday PM Peak Rd N)] (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Mov } & \text { Turn } \\ \hline \text { ID } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | DEMAN <br> [ Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOWS } \\ \text { HV] } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | ARRI <br> FLO [ Total veh/h | VAL NS HV] \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | AVERA <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF <br> Dist] <br> m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 949 | 9.0 | 949 | 9.0 | 0.510 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.5 |
| Approach | 949 | 9.0 | 949 | 9.0 | 0.510 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.5 |
| East: Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 R2 | 84 | 2.4 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.045 | 3.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 62.8 |
| Approach | 84 | 2.4 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.045 | 3.1 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 62.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1033 | 8.4 | 1033 | 8.4 | 0.510 | 0.4 | NA | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 87.9 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ site: 1 [2023 Sunday Mid-day Peak - Stage 1 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard Rd N)]

Network: N101 [2023 Sunday Midday Peak (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn ID | DEMAND <br> [ Total veh/h | LOWS <br> HV] <br> \% | ARR <br> FLO [ Total veh/h | VAL WS HV] \% | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Aver. <br> Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | AVERA <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF <br> Dist] <br> m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 R2 | 60 | 5.0 | 60 | 5.0 | 0.085 | 12.6 | LOS B | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.72 | 0.90 | 0.72 | 52.0 |
| Approach | 60 | 5.0 | 60 | 5.0 | 0.085 | 12.6 | NA | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.72 | 0.90 | 0.72 | 52.0 |
| East: Bernard Rd N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 82 | 1.2 | 82 | 1.2 | 0.122 | 9.5 | $\operatorname{LOS} A$ | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.71 | 51.6 |
| 5 T1 | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.063 | 6.4 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.54 | 0.77 | 0.54 | 49.7 |
| Approach | 145 | 2.1 | 145 | 2.1 | 0.122 | 8.2 | LOS A | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.63 | 0.82 | 0.63 | 51.0 |
| North: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 86 | 0.0 | 86 | 0.0 | 0.043 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 56.5 |
| 8 T1 | 865 | 9.7 | 865 | 9.7 | 0.467 | 0.1 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.6 |
| Approach | 951 | 8.8 | 951 | 8.8 | 0.467 | 0.8 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 85.0 |
| All Vehicles | 1156 | 7.8 | 1156 | 7.8 | 0.467 | 2.3 | NA | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 77.2 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: $\mathbf{2}$ [2023 Sunday Mid-day Peak - Stage 2 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard Rd N)]

Network: N101 [2023 Sunday Midday Peak (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mov Tum } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | DEMAN <br> [ Total veh/h | LOWS <br> HV] |  | VAL WS HV] \% | Deg. Satn v/c | Aver. Delay sec | Level of Service | AVER <br> [ Veh. veh | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACK OF } \\ \text { E } \begin{array}{c} \text { Dist ] } \\ \mathrm{m} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 606 | 6.9 | 606 | 6.9 | 0.321 | 0.1 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.8 |
| Approach | 606 | 6.9 | 606 | 6.9 | 0.321 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.8 |
| East. Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 R2 | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.025 | 2.5 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 62.9 |
| Approach | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.025 | 2.5 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 62.9 |
| All Vehicles | 669 | 6.6 | 669 | 6.6 | 0.321 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 87.9 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: 1 [2033 Friday PM Peak - Stage 1 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard 마 Network: N101 [2033 Friday PM Peak Rd N)]
(Network Folder: General)]
Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)


## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: $\mathbf{2}$ [2033 Friday PM Peak - Stage 2 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd / Bernard 마 Network: N101 [2033 Friday PM Peak Rd N )] (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category:
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Tum | DEMAND FLOWS |  | ARRIVAL FLows |  | Deg. Satn | Aver. Delay | Level of Service | AVERAGE BACK OF QUEUE |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed |
|  | [ Total veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV] } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ | [ Total veh/h |  |  |  |  | [ Veh. veh | Dist] |  |  |  |  |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 1406 | 9.0 | 1406 | 9.0 | 0.756 | 0.3 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 88.5 |
| Approach | 1406 | 9.0 | 1406 | 9.0 | 0.756 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 88.5 |
| East. Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 R2 | 84 | 2.4 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.092 | 5.2 | LOSA | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 58.6 |
| Approach | 84 | 2.4 | 84 | 2.4 | 0.092 | 5.2 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.76 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 58.6 |
| All Vehicles | 1490 | 8.7 | 1490 | 8.7 | 0.756 | 0.6 | NA | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 87.2 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: 1 [2033 Sunday Mid-day Peak - Stage 1 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd /
마 Network: N101 [2033 Sunday MidBernard Rd N)] day Peak (Network Folder: General)]

Site Category: -
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Turn | DEMAND <br> [ Total veh/h | LOWS <br> HV] <br> \% | ARR <br> FLO [ Total veh/h | VAL WS HV] \% | Deg. <br> Satn <br> v/c | Aver. Delay <br> sec | Level of Service | AVERA <br> [ Veh. veh | CK OF <br> Dist] <br> m | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 R2 | 60 | 5.0 | 60 | 5.0 | 0.321 | 32.8 | LOS D | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 40.4 |
| Approach | 60 | 5.0 | 60 | 5.0 | 0.321 | 32.8 | NA | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.07 | 40.4 |
| East: Bernard Rd N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 L2 | 82 | 1.2 | 82 | 1.2 | 0.436 | 30.7 | LOS D | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 1.17 | 39.7 |
| 5 T1 | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.117 | 9.7 | LOSA | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.76 | 0.89 | 0.76 | 45.7 |
| Approach | 145 | 2.1 | 145 | 2.1 | 0.436 | 21.6 | LOS C | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 41.2 |
| North: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 L2 | 86 | 0.0 | 86 | 0.0 | 0.043 | 8.0 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 56.5 |
| 8 T1 | 1281 | 9.8 | 1281 | 9.8 | 0.691 | 0.2 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 88.9 |
| Approach | 1367 | 9.1 | 1367 | 9.1 | 0.691 | 0.7 | LOS A | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 85.8 |
| All Vehicles | 1572 | 8.3 | 1572 | 8.3 | 0.691 | 3.9 | NA | 0.6 | 4.2 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 76.4 |

## MOVEMENT SUMMARY

$\nabla$ Site: $\mathbf{2}$ [2033 Sunday Mid-day Peak - Stage 2 (Site Folder: Wanneroo Rd $/$ Bernard Rd N)]

마 Network: N101 [2033 Sunday Mid-

Site Category:
Give-Way (Two-Way)

| Vehicle Movement Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov Tum | DEMAND FLOWS |  | ARRIVAL FLows |  | Deg. Satn | Aver.Delay | Level of Service | AVERAGE BACK OF QUEUE |  | Prop. Que | Effective Stop Rate | Aver. No. Cycles | Aver. Speed km/h |
|  | [ Total | HV] | [ Total |  |  |  |  | [ Veh. | Dist] |  |  |  |  |
|  | veh/h | \% | vehh | \% | v/c | sec |  | veh | m |  |  |  |  |
| South: Wanneroo Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 T1 | 896 | 6.9 | 896 | 6.9 | 0.475 | 0.1 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.6 |
| Approach | 896 | 6.9 | 896 | 6.9 | 0.475 | 0.1 | NA | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 89.6 |
| East. Median |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 R2 | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.031 | 2.9 | Los A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.48 | 62.4 |
| Approach | 63 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | 0.031 | 2.9 | LOS A | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.48 | 62.4 |
| All Vehicles | 959 | 6.7 | 959 | 6.7 | 0.475 | 0.3 | NA | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 88.2 |

## Appendix B - Swept Paths




