

TENDER RECOMMENDATION REPORT

TO: ACTING CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CC: MANAGER INFRASTRUCTURE CAPITAL WORKS
FROM: DIRECTOR ASSETS
FILE REF: 21/283647
DATE: 05 July 2021

TENDER 21013: RENEWAL OF THE BRIDGE, BOARDWALK AND VIEWING PLATFORM, STUDMASTER PARK, WANNEROO

Issue

To consider Tender No: 21013 for the renewal of the bridge, boardwalk and viewing platform at Studmaster Park, Wanneroo.

Background

The bridge, boardwalk and viewing platform at Studmaster Park in Wanneroo has reached the end of its maintainable life and the City has need for the renewal of these structures in the 2021/22 Financial Year.

In March 2020, the City engaged a structural engineer to undertake a condition assessment on the bridge, boardwalk and viewing platform as part of the renewal program. This assessment resulted in the closure of the bridge structure due to safety concerns.

On completion of the consultation process in April 2021, the structural engineer progressed the concept to the final design stage.

This report provides the recommendation to appoint a suitably qualified contractor to construct the bridge, boardwalk and viewing platform as per the final design that has been approved by City.

Detail

Tender 21013 was advertised on Wednesday the 5th of May 2021 and closed on Friday the 4th of June 2021. One addendum was issued as follows:

- Addendum 1 – Engineer Advice.

Essential details of the proposed contract are as follows:

Item	Detail
Contract Form	Minor Works
Contract Type	Fixed Lump Sum Price
Contract Duration	Not more than 12 months
Commencement Date	September 2021
Expiry Date	September 2022
Extension Permitted	No
Rise and Fall	No

Tender submissions were received from the following companies:

Legal Name	Trading Name	Abbreviation
D.B. Cunningham Pty Ltd	Advanteering Civil Engineers	Advanteering
Hickey Constructions Pty Ltd	Hickey Constructions	Hickey
Menchetti Consolidated Pty Ltd	MG Group WA	MG Group

The Tender Evaluation panel comprised:

- Project Manager – Infrastructure Capital Works (Construction);
- Project Manager – Infrastructure Capital Works (Facilities);
- Park Assets Officer; and
- Coordinator Safety Systems.

Probity Oversight

The City's Contracts Officer undertook oversight to the tender assessment process. Tender submissions were evaluated in accordance with the Procurement and Evaluation Plan (PEP), which included the following selection criteria:

Item No	Description	Weighting
	Price (assessed under Value for Money)	Not Weighted
1	Sustainable Procurement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental Considerations 5% • Buy Local 10% • Reconciliation Action Plan 2.5% • Disability & Inclusion 2.5% 	20%
2	*Occupational Health and Safety	20%
3	*Demonstrated Understanding and Methodology	30%
4	*Demonstrated Experience, Resources and Capacity	30%

Pricing is not included in the qualitative criteria and is considered as part of the overall value for money assessment. Acceptable minimum scores are required for each qualitative criterion indicated with * above.

Pricing for the Works Offered (Not Weighted)

An assessment was made to determine the ranking based on the lump sum price provided with the tender documentation. Refer to Confidential Attachment 1 for the Tender lump sum prices offered.

The assessment resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	2
Hickey	3

Evaluation Criteria 1 – Sustainable Procurement (20%)

Sub criteria a) Environmental Considerations (5%)

An assessment was made to determine the ranking based on the Tenderer's Environmental policy and practices.

The assessment resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
MG Group	1
Hickey	2
Advanteering	3

Sub criteria b) Buy Local (Broader Economic Impact Implications for the City of Wanneroo) (10%)

An assessment was made to determine the Tenderer ranking based on the responses provided detailing the following information:

- *Purchasing the locally made and sourced goods / services;*
- *Inviting local businesses to participate in quotation, tender and expressions of interest opportunities;*
- *Providing an advantage to businesses based within the City of Wanneroo boundaries; and*
- *Providing an advantage to businesses, which can demonstrate economic benefit to the City of Wanneroo community such as employing local residents / sub-contractors and/or purchasing goods / services from local providers.*

The assessment resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Hickey	1
Advanteering	2
MG Group	2

Hickey Construction were the only locally based Tenderer within the City of Wanneroo. All Tenderers have identified locally employed personnel and have committed to purchase materials and supplies locally.

Sub criteria c) Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP) (2.5%)

An assessment to determine the Tenderer ranking was based on the responses provided detailing the following information:

- *RELATIONSHIPS – building positive relationships between indigenous and non-indigenous people;*
- *RESPECT – recognising the contribution of Indigenous people to Australia and learning more about the history, culture and diversity in a two-way communication process; and*
- *OPPORTUNITIES – attracting, developing and retaining organisational talent to build opportunities for aboriginal employment, training, development and mentoring.*

The assessment has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	2
Hickey	3

Sub criteria d) Disability & Inclusion (2.5%)

An assessment determined the Tenderer ranking based on the responses provided that relate to:

- *People with disabilities have the same buildings and facilities access opportunities as other people;*
- *People with disabilities receive information in a format that will enable them to access information as readily as other people are able to access it;*
- *People with disabilities have the same opportunities as other people to make complaints;*
- *People with disabilities have the same opportunities as other people to participate in any employment opportunities;*
- *Location of Tenderer's offices, depots and production facilities; and*
- *Requirement for new employees arising from award of the contract.*

The assessment has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Hickey	1
Advanteering	2
MG Group	2

The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following overall ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Hickey	1
MG Group	2
Advanteering	3

Evaluation Criteria 2 – Occupational Health and Safety (20%)

Evidence of safety management policies and practices were assessed from the tender submissions. The assessment for safety management was based on the Tenderers' responses to an Occupational Health and Safety Management System Questionnaire included within the tender documentation.

The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	1
Hickey	3

Evaluation Criteria 3 – Demonstrated Understanding and Methodology (30%)

The Tenderers' proposed methodologies were assessed in order to evaluate their capability to meet the requirements of the contract. Assessment of this criterion considered the Tenderers' demonstrated appreciation and understanding of the requirements for this project, including interpretation of the scope of work, site specification risk and issue analysis, consideration of innovation, proposed schedule of works, sequence, timeline and understanding of budget and schedule.

The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	2
Hickey	3

Evaluation Criteria 4 – Demonstrated Experience, Resources and Capacity (30%)

The Tenderers' resources as presented in their tender submission were assessed in order to evaluate their capacity to meet the requirements of the contract. Assessment of this criterion considered the Tenderers' key personnel, skills and experience in completing similar projects:

The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	2
Hickey	3

Overall Qualitative Weighted Assessment and Ranking

Tenderers' submissions were assessed in accordance with the criteria as outlined in the Procurement Evaluation Plan.

The overall qualitative weighted assessment resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group	2
Hickey	3

All Tenderers achieved an acceptable Overall Qualitative Weighted Score and progressed to the Value for Money Assessment.

Value for Money Assessment

Following the review of the Overall Qualitative Weighted Assessment Ranking and the Tendered Lump Sum Price Ranking, Advanteering achieved the highest Overall Qualitative Weighted Assessment Ranking and provided the only bid that was within the available budget.

The assessment has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Advanteering	1
MG Group*	2
Hickey*	3

* MG Group and Hickey Construction bids exceeded the available budget.

Overall Assessment and Comment

The tender submission from Advanteering Civil Engineers achieved the highest qualitative ranking and provided the best overall value for money outcome in accordance with the assessment criteria and weightings as detailed in the Procurement and Evaluation Plan. Therefore, Advanteering Civil Engineers are recommended as the successful tenderer.

Consultation

Community consultation on the detailed design, occurred between September 2020 and April 2021. Feedback was sought from state government departments, local resident groups, Council, as well as residents within a 400m radius of the park.

Site signage has been installed on site, informing the community of the upcoming works. The City's website and social media have been updated regularly with the Project's progress.

In accordance with Section 3.51 of the Local Act 1995 relating to minor construction works, general construction notice will be issued to Council and the adjoining properties, and signage will be installed on site.

Statutory Compliance

Tenders were invited in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. The tendering procedures and evaluation complied with the requirements of Part 4 of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

Strategic Implications

The proposal aligns with the following goals and priorities within the Strategic Community Plan 2021 – 2031:

“5 ~ A well planned, safe and resilient City that is easy to travel around and provides a connection between people and places

5.3 – Responsibly managed and maintained assets”

Enterprise Risk Management Considerations

Risk Title	Risk Rating
CO-O08 Contract Management	Moderate
Accountability	Action Planning Option
Director Corporate Strategy & Performance	Manage

Risk Title	Risk Rating
ST-S04 Integrated Infrastructure & Utility Planning	Moderate
Accountability	Action Planning Option
Director Planning & Sustainability and Director Assets	Manage

Financial and Performance Risk

Financial Risk

A financial risk assessment was undertaken as part of the tender evaluation process and the outcome of this independent assessment advised that Advantesting Civil Engineers have been assessed with a 'very strong' financial capacity to meet the requirements of the contract.

Performance Risk

The recommended respondent has 35 years' experience in the civil and landscape construction services industry, including a large number of bridges and boardwalks within local and state government bodies across Western Australia. Advantesting have successfully completed several recent projects for the City, including the recently constructed Splendid Park Skate Park.

Policy Implications

Tenders were invited in accordance with the requirements of the City's Purchasing Policy.

Financial (Budget) Implications

The table below summarises the available funding for the renewal of the bridge, boardwalk and viewing platform, and associated expenses in the 2021/22 Capital Works Program:

Description	Expenditure	Budget
Budget:		
Allocated Capital Works Budget 2020/21 (PR-4308)		\$5,000
Allocated Capital Works Budget 2021/22 (PR-4308)		\$495,000
Allocated Capital Works Budget 2021/22 (PR-1910)		\$150,000
Expenditure:		
Expenditure incurred to date (PR-4308)	\$4,444	
Expenditure incurred to date (PR-1910)	\$0	
Commitment to date	\$0	
Project Management & Professional Fees	\$15,000	
Tender 21013 (this Tender) - Total	\$610,210	
Other Construction Activities		
- <i>Structural Engineer Audit and Certification</i>	\$1,500	
Construction Contingency	\$15,000	
Total Expenditure:	\$646,154	
Total Funding:		\$650,000

Tender 21013 The Renewal of the Bridge, Boardwalk and Viewing Platform, Studmaster Park, Wanneroo

Recommendation:

That the Acting Chief Executive Officer, in accordance with Delegation 1.1.14 - Choice of Most Advantageous Tender of the Delegated Authority Register for the awarding of tenders ACCEPTS the tender submitted by DB Cunningham Pty Ltd t/as Advantearing Civil Engineers for Tender 21013, for the Renewal of the Bridge, Boardwalk and Viewing Platform at Studmaster Park, Wanneroo, at a fixed lump sum price of \$610,210.00 ex GST as per the General Conditions of Tendering.