

# TENDER RECOMMENDATION REPORT

TO: CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CC: A/COORDINATOR BUILDING MAINTENANCE

**MANAGER ASSETS MAINTENANCE** 

FROM: DIRECTOR ASSETS

FILE REF: 25/269014

DATE: 30 July 2025

TENDER 25037: PROVISION OF FIRE SERVICES: TESTING, SERVICING, MAINTENANCE AND TRAINING FOR A PERIOD OF ONE (1) YEAR

#### Issue

To consider Tender No: 25037 for the Provision of Fire Services: Testing, Servicing, Maintenance and Training.

## **Background**

The City is seeking to appoint a suitably qualified and experienced contractor to provide statutory inspections, maintenance, testing and training for fire detection and suppression equipment within the City of Wanneroo, on both a scheduled programme and an as-and-when required basis. This is a recurring contract for an initial term of one (1) year with options to extend, at the City's discretion for a further four (4), twelve (12) month terms or part thereof. The current contract expired on 20 July 2025 with no further options available.

## Detail

Tender 25037 for the Provision of Fire Services: Testing, Servicing, Maintenance and Training was advertised on 24 May 2025 and closed on 17 June 2025. Two addenda were issued, providing for a revision to scope and price schedule and an extension of time to the tender closing date.

Essential details of the proposed contract are as follows:

Item	Detail
Contract Form	Goods & Services
Contract Type	Schedule of Rates
Contract Duration	One Year
Commencement Date	August 2025
Expiry Date	August 2026
Extension Permitted	Yes, four (4) periods of twelve (12) months or part thereof

CM 25/269014

Tender submissions were received from the following companies:

Legal Name	Trading Name	Abbreviation	
Airmaster Corporation Pty Ltd	Airmaster Corporation	Airmaster	
Banhams WA Pty Ltd	Banhams Plumbing, Gas and Fire	Banhams	
Commercial Pump	Commercial Pump	Commercial Pump	
Maintenance Pty Ltd*	Maintenance*	Maintenance*	
Critical Fire Protection &	Critical Fire Protection &	Critical Fire	
Training Pty Ltd	Training Pty Ltd	Critical Fire	
e Group Holdings Pty Ltd	eFire & Safety	eFire	
Essential Fire Services Pty Ltd	Essential Fire Services	Essential Fire	
Fire Shield Services Pty Ltd	Fire Shield	Fire Shield	
Paull and Warner Resources	South West Fire Unit	South West Fire	
Pty Ltd	Fabrications	South West File	
People and Property	Property Fire	Property Fire	
Enterprises Pty Ltd	Maintenance	Maintenance	
FVS Fire Pty Ltd	Protector Fire Services	Protector Fire	
Workpower Incorporated	Workpower Incorporated	Workpower	

# **Probity Oversight**

Oversight to the tender assessment process was undertaken by the City's Contracts Officer.

Tender submissions were evaluated in accordance with the Procurement and Evaluation Plan (PEP) which included the following selection criteria:

Item No	Description	Weighting
1	Sustainable (Corporate Social Responsibility)	25%
	Procurement	
	a) Environmental Considerations 5%	
	b) Buy Local 10%	
	c) Reconciliation Action Plan 5%	
	d) Access and Inclusion 5%	
2	* WHS Demonstrated working documents	25%
3	* Demonstrated similar experience	
4	* Methodology, resources and capacity	

All Tenderers must meet the City's minimum requirements (as determined by the City) for each of the qualitative criteria detailed above (\*) to be considered for further evaluation.

Pricing is not included in the qualitative criteria and is considered as part of the overall value for money assessment (VFM).

<sup>\*</sup>The tender submission received by Commercial Pump Maintenance Pty Ltd was deemed as non-conforming and did not proceed for further evaluation.

## **Evaluation Criteria 1 – Sustainable Procurement (25%)**

Evidence of Sustainable (Corporate Social Responsibility) Procurement was assessed based on the Tenderer's responses provided to the Questionnaires within Schedules 3A, 3B, 3C and 3D which formed part of the tender documentation.

## Sub Criteria a) Environmental Considerations (5%)

The City is committed to procuring goods and services that provide positive environmental, social and economic impacts over the entire life cycle of a product or service. Respondents are encouraged to provide credentials of any environmental claims of the goods and/or services submitted in this tender.

Tenderers provided details of their environmental considerations within Schedule 3A, with the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Banhams	1
South West Fire	1
Protector Fire	1
Workpower	1
Fire Shield	5
Property Fire Maintenance	5
Airmaster	7
Critical Fire	7
Essential Fire	7
eFire	10

## Sub Criteria b) Buy Local (10%)

An assessment was made based on the response provided, detailing the following information:

- Location of tenderer's offices and workshops;
- Residential addresses of staff and company address of subcontractors;
- Purchasing arrangements through local businesses;
- Requirement for new employees arising from award of the contract.

Tenderers provided details of their "Buy Local" considerations within Schedule 3B, with the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Airmaster	1
Critical Fire	1
Workpower	1
Banhams	4
eFire	5
Essential Fire	5
Fire Shield	5
Property Fire	5
Protector Fire	5
South West Fire	10

## Sub Criteria c) Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP) (5%)

An assessment was made to determine the ranking based on the responses provided that relate to:

- RELATIONSHIPS building positive relationships between indigenous and non-indigenous people;
- RESPECT recognising the contribution of Indigenous people to Australia and learning more about the history, culture and diversity in a two-way communication process;
- OPPORTUNITIES attracting, developing and retaining organisational talent to build opportunities for aboriginal employment, training, and development and mentoring.

Tenderers provided information specifying differing levels of actions in relation to indigenous reconciliation action with assessment resulting in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Fire Shield	1
Airmaster	2
Workpower	2
Banhams	4
Critical Fire	4
South West Fire	6
Property Fire	6
eFire	8
Essential Fire	9
Protector Fire	10

# Sub Criteria d) Access & Inclusion Plan (AIP) (5%)

An assessment was made to determine the ranking based on the responses provided that relate to:

- People with disabilities having the same buildings and facilities access opportunities as other people;
- People with disabilities receiving information in a format that will enable them to access information as readily as other people are able to access it;
- People with disabilities receiving the same level and quality of service from staff as other people receive;
- People with disabilities having the same opportunities as other people to make complaints;
- People with disabilities having the same opportunities as other people to participate in any employment opportunities.

Tenderers provided information specifying considerations for access and inclusion provisions with assessment resulting in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Workpower	1
eFire	2
Critical Fire	3
Airmaster	4
Banhams	4
Fire Shield	4
Property Fire Maintenance	4
Protector Fire	8
Essential Fire	9
South West Fire	10

## **Overall Sustainable Procurement Ranking Summary**

The overall assessment of the Sustainable Procurement criteria has resulted in the following overall ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Workpower	1
Airmaster	2
Critical Fire	3
Banhams	4
Fire Shield	4
Property Fire Maintenance	4
eFire	4
Protector Fire	8
Essential Fire	9
South West Fire	10

## **Evaluation Criteria 2 - Tenderer's Safety Management Systems (25%)**

Evidence of WHS management policies and practices was assessed from the tender submissions. The assessment for safety management was based on the tenderer's responses to a specific questionnaire included within the tender documentation.

Tenderers provided details of their safety management systems with the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Airmaster	1
Banhams	2
Protector Fire	3
Property Fire Maintenance	4
Workpower	4
Fire Shield	6
South West Fire	6
Critical Fire	8
eFire	9
Essential Fire Services	10

## **Evaluation Criteria 3 – Tenderer's Demonstrated Similar Experience (30%)**

The tenderer's relevant experience in demonstrating the achievement of meeting client expectations as presented in their tender submission were assessed in order to evaluate their capability to meet the requirements of the contract. Assessment of this criterion considered the tendering entity's credentials to fulfil the requirements of the contract. The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Workpower	1
eFire	2
Critical Fire	3
Fire Shield	3
South West Fire	3
Property Fire Maintenance	6
Airmaster	7

Tenderer	Ranking
Banhams	8
Essential Fire	9
Protector Fire	10

## Evaluation Criteria 4 - Tenderer's Methodology, Resources and Capacity (20%)

The tenderer's methodology, resources and capacity as presented in their tender submission were assessed in order to evaluate their capacity to meet the requirements of the contract. Assessment of this criterion considered the tenderer's staff resources, vehicles, plant/equipment and workshop support to manage the contract. The assessment of this criterion has resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Fire Shield	1
Critical Fire	2
eFire	2
Airmaster	4
Property Fire Maintenance	4
Workpower	4
South West Fire	7
Banhams	8
Essential Fire	8
Protector Fire	8

## **Overall Qualitative Weighted Assessment and Ranking**

Tenderer's submissions were reviewed in accordance with the PEP. The overall assessment of qualitative weighted criteria resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Workpower	1
Airmaster	2
Banhams	3
Critical Fire*	4
Fire Shield	4
Property Fire Maintenance	6
eFire Safety*	7
Protector Fire	8
South West Fire	9
Essential Fire*	10

Critical Fire, eFire and Essential Fire did not meet the City's minimum requirement for at least one of the mandatory qualitative criteria and therefore did not proceed to the pricing or value for money assessment.

# **Pricing for the Goods/Services/Works Offered**

An assessment was made to determine the ranking based on the lump sum pricing and schedule of rates provided with the tender documentation.

Based on the information provided, tenderers are ranked as follows:

Tenderer	Ranking
Property Fire Maintenance	1
Protector Fire	2
Airmaster	3
Fire Shield	4
South West Fire	5
Banhams	6
Workpower	7

## **Relative Value for Money Assessment**

The combined assessment of price and qualitative criteria resulted in the following ranking:

Tenderer	Ranking
Property Fire Maintenance	1
Protector Fire	2
Airmaster	3
Fire Shield	4
South West Fire	5
Banhams	6
Workpower	7

### **Overall Assessment and Comment**

The tender submission from Property Fire Maintenance satisfied the overall VFM assessment in accordance with the assessment criteria and weightings as detailed in the PEP and is therefore recommended as the successful tenderer. Refer to the confidential attachment for further comment.

### Consultation

Nil

## **Statutory Compliance**

Tenders were invited in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. The tendering procedures and evaluation complied with the requirements of Part 4 of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

### **Strategic Implications**

The proposal aligns with the following objective with the Strategic Community Plan 2021-2031:

Goal #5 A well-planned, safe and resilient City that is easy to travel around and provides a connection between people and places

Priority 5.3 Manage and maintain assets

### **Risk Appetite Statement**

In pursuit of strategic objective goal 5, we will accept a Medium level of risk, extended to High in the areas of Community / Reputation & Financial / Commercial impacts. Shifting transport modes and usage in the City may require short term pain for longer

term gain as the City supports the development, maintenance and connection of alternatives to car use (e.g. cycle ways) and the supporting infrastructure.

## **Enterprise Risk Management Considerations**

Risk Title	Risk Rating
CO-O08 Contract Management	Moderate
Accountability	Action Planning Option
Director Corporate Strategy and Performance	Manage

Risk Title	Risk Rating
CO-O22 Environmental Management	Moderate
Accountability	Action Planning Option
Director Planning and Sustainability	Manage

### **Financial and Performance Risk**

### Financial Risk

A financial risk assessment was undertaken by Equifax Australasia Credit Ratings Pty Ltd as part of the tender evaluation process and the outcome of this independent assessment advised that Property Fire Maintenance is assessed with the financial capacity to meet the requirements of the contract.

## Performance Risk

Independent reference checks have indicated that the recommended tenderer (Property Fire Maintenance) is reliable and produces work to a very high standard while maintaining alignment to budget and scheduling requirements without dispute or incident.

Please refer to confidential attachment for further comment.

### **Policy Implications**

Tenders were invited in accordance with the requirements of the City's Purchasing Policy.

# Financial (Budget) Implications

The costs associated with the Provision of Fire Services: Testing, Servicing, Maintaining and Testing are included in the Asset Maintenance Service Unit Operational Budget.

### Recommendation:

That the CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, in accordance with Delegation 1.1.13 (Tenders for Goods and Services) of the Delegated Authority Register for the awarding of tenders ACCEPTS the tender submitted by Property Fire Maintenance for Tender 25037, for the Provision of Fire Services: Testing, Servicing, Maintenance and Training for an initial period of one (1) year, with four (4), twelve (12) month options to extend at the City's discretion, as per the tendered schedule of rates and in accordance with the conditions of tendering.

CM25/269014